G. R. S. 59] GPB- 15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1899-1900
~~1901~~ .
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 153

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

~~Vimana~~ Vimana Pradakshana post in the Sri Chamundeswari temple on the Chamundi Hill, Mysore

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

No 600 25/1/1900

No 601 25/1/1900

Copy forwarded to Palace Comptr

for information

B—

23 ~~20~~/1/1900

B—

14/2/1900

Previous petition

To



Roy Bahadur A. Srinivasa Charlu Esquire
Mulgaraji Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore at Bangalore

The humble petition of Pasupatiah
residing at Koudley Mandya Taluq.

Sir,

your humble petitioner is the registered holder of the office of Vimana pradakshanay in the temple of Sree Chamundeswari on the and owing to want of residence and many other inconveniences on the hill, he could not permanently settle there and perform the duties, but he had engaged the services of a substitute named Venkatasiah, as was the recognized system in the temple, who was regularly and punctually performing the prescribed duties of that office till the middle of December last, when he was, on the misrepresentation of some persons who are ill-disposed towards him and the petitioner removed from the office without any intimation to the petitioner and was replaced by one Ganga Shambhatta who has been ordered to perform the same duties till the petitioner turns up on the office.

2 The office of Vimana pradakshanay is a hereditary one and the system of allowing substitutes to perform the appointed duties of the several offices in the temple is to show concession to the registered holders of those offices and to make some provisions for their

graciously allowing concession to some of the registered holders who receive something at least from the substitutes in accordance with their previous terms the registered holders and thus are able to maintain themselves; but in the case of the petitioner a strict order has been carried out allowing nothing to the registered holder who solely depended upon the concession he had hitherto. The newly appointed Ganga Shambhatta is allowed to receive full pay — an only exception in the whole temple. The withdrawal of this concession in the case of the petitioner works very hard upon him.

3. The said Ganga Shambhatta holds the office of Rugveda parayana which should be performed inside the temple at the same time as the office of vimana pradakshaney should be performed round the temple; therefore the two offices can not be performed simultaneously.

4 To set these things right, the petitioner applied to the palace Comptroller and was informed that the order had been passed by your honour. Thus the Comptroller declined to interfere in the matter;

5. Where upon the petitioner applied to your honour but was unfortunate to get a proper reply.

6. Your humble petitioner, therefore, begs to submit that, as the present substitute Venkatesia is a near relation to the petitioner and his appointment as a substitute to the petitioner makes ample provision for the petitioners maintenance, the said Venkatesiah should be appointed as a substitute and that the appointment of Ganga Shambhatta as a substitute for this place should be cancelled and thus make provision for the petitioner's maintenance (as there is provision made to other registered holders) for which act of kindness your humble petitioner as in duty bound will ever pray.

Dated 4-2-1900.

your most humble & respectful servant,

OFFICE OF THE
MUTRAJ BRANCH
Dated
1486

maintenance. Upon this principle your honour has been

maintenance. Upon this principle your honour has been

23/6/1900



To
Rao Bahadur M. C. Srinivasa Rao
Esquire, Muzariaji Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

The humble petition of Pasupaleah
residing at Kondley, Mandya Taluq.

Sir,

your humble petitioner begs most respectfully to bring to your kind consideration that he is the register-holder of the office of vimana pradakshanay in the temple of Sri Chamundeswary on the hill, and that owing to want of a house and other inconveniences on the hill, he could not permanently settle there and perform the duties, but had engaged the services of a substitute named Venkatesiah according to the custom in the temple.

The said substitute was regularly and punctually performing the prescribed duties of that office till the middle of December last, when he was removed from the office without any intimation to your humble petitioner and was replaced by one, Ganga Shambhudee.

The office of Vimana pradakshenay is a hereditory one and the system of allowing substitutes to perform the appointed duties of several offices in the temple is to show concession to the register holders of those offices and to make some provisions for their maintenance, and upon this principle your dor your has been graciously allowing this concession to the existing substitutes in the same temple, but in the case of the petitioner a strict order has been carried out by appointing a stranger to the office, allowing full pay to him and without making any provision for the maintenance of the register-holder.

But in the same temple, some substitutes have been allowed to perform the duties for the register-holder pay something at least to register holders who live far away from the hills, solely depending upon it.

The newly appointed Ganga Shambhatta has been in the office of Rigveda parayana which is to be performed inside the temple at the same time as the office of vimana pradakshina round the temple. One man can not attend to both the offices simultaneously. Moreover he is ordered to receive full pay - an exception to all the substitutes who act for the register-holders.

Whereupon the petitioner applied to the Palace comptroller for allowing the former substitute Venkatesiah and thus to show concession to the poor & helpless petitioner who depended upon this help. He declined to interfere in the matter as the order - that Ganga Shambhatta should act till the register holder returns on his duty - was passed by your honour.

To the same effect the petitioner applied to your honour on January 15 of 1900 & again on February 6, April 16th, but was unfortunate enough to get a favourable reply.

The petitioner begs most humbly to bring the above facts to your kind perusal and confer favourable order by reappointing the former substitute Venkatesiah who is closely related to the petitioner & in place of Ganga Shambhatta and thus help the poor petitioner who depends upon this help to support his family. For this act of kindness the petitioner will ever remain grateful.

I remain, sir,
your obedient & respectful servant
Hyderabad

Dated 7-6-1900

ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂ. 236 of 1928/11/07.

ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರು.

ವಿರುದ್ಧ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು.

ಈ ಅಪೀಲು ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಮೂಲ ವಾದಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿದೆ. ಮೂಲ ವಾದಿಯು ಈ ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರ ತಂದೆಯಾದ ದಿವಂಗತ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಾಮಿಸರಿ ನೋಟಿನ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲಿಗಾಗಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ಹೂಡಿದ್ದನು. ಆ ನೋಟಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರ ಸಹಿ ಇದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅದು ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರು ಮೃತಪಟ್ಟ ನಂತರ ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಅವರು ವಾದಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರು ಮೃತಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು 1897ರ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 14ರಂದು. ನೋಟಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ದಿನಾಂಕ 1897ರ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 19. ಅಂದರೆ ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಅವರು ಮೃತಪಟ್ಟ ಐದು ದಿನಗಳ ನಂತರ. ಈ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ಸಾಬೀತುಪಡಿಸಲು ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರು ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಅಪೀಲು ವಜಾ ಆಗಬೇಕು.

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ;

ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಎರಡು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ —

i ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೪೦-೫೦ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ

ಅ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ :—

ಆ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೪೦-೫೦ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ —

ಇ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೪೦-೫೦ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ —

ii ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೨೫ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ.

ಅ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೨೫ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ;

ಆ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೨೫ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ;

ಇ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೨೫ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ;

iii ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೧೦ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ;

ಅ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೧೦ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ;

ಆ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೧೦ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ;

ಇ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೧೦ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ;

ಎಲ್ಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಅಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ;

ಪ್ರತಿ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನೂ ಅರ್ಧಂಬರ್ಧ ಮಾಡಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತದೆ;

ಅಲ್ಲಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತದೆ;

ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸ

Bangalore
27/11/87

To
The Superintendent
Central Prison
Bangalore

Sir,

Sub: Release of prisoners on the occasion of Republic Day - Regarding

I am directed to inform you that the Government have decided to release on the occasion of Republic Day, the following prisoners who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine and who are not habitual offenders.

1. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 15 days and above but less than 30 days.
2. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 30 days and above but less than 60 days.
3. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 60 days and above but less than 90 days.
4. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 90 days and above but less than 120 days.
5. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 120 days and above but less than 180 days.
6. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 180 days and above but less than 240 days.
7. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 240 days and above but less than 365 days.

The Government have also decided to release on the occasion of Republic Day, the following prisoners who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine and who are not habitual offenders.

1. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 15 days and above but less than 30 days.
2. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 30 days and above but less than 60 days.
3. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 60 days and above but less than 90 days.
4. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 90 days and above but less than 120 days.
5. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 120 days and above but less than 180 days.
6. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 180 days and above but less than 240 days.
7. Those who have undergone imprisonment in default of payment of fine for a period of 240 days and above but less than 365 days.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary to Government

237 28/11/87

18/2/02

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No. 760
MUZRAI BRANCH

No. 1313. Dt 21.11.97 Enclosed

Encl: F. 17-97

ಸಾಲಿನ ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪದವಿಗೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಪ್ರಾಸ ಇರಬೇಕು, ಅಂದರೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾಸಪದಗಳು ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತವೆ. ಇದು ಕಷ್ಟವಾದ

ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಪ್ರಾಸಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು

ಅಂತರ್ಜಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುವ ತಾಣಗಳಿವೆ. ಅಂಥ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾಸಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕಿಕೊಂಡು ಅವುಗಳ

ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಸಾಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಬೇಕು.

ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ —

ಅಂತರ್ಜಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಥ ತಾಣಗಳಿವೆ

ಪ್ರಾಸಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕಿಕೊಂಡು ಅವುಗಳ

ಸಾಲಿನ ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಸಾಲುಗಳನ್ನು

ಅಂತ್ಯಪ್ರಾಸ ಇರಬೇಕು, ಅಂದರೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾಸಪದಗಳು ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತವೆ. ಇದು ಕಷ್ಟವಾದ

ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಪ್ರಾಸಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು

2. ಎರಡನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಸಂಬಂಧ

ಪ್ರಾಸಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಪ್ರಾಸಪದಗಳನ್ನು

ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಬಳಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆ.

ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ: —

ಪ್ರಾಸಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕಿಕೊಂಡು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಲಿನ

ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಸಾಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಬೇಕು.

ಅಂತರ್ಜಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಥ ತಾಣಗಳಿವೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಪ್ರಾಸ ಇರಬೇಕು, ಅಂದರೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾಸಪದಗಳು ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತವೆ. ಇದು ಕಷ್ಟವಾದ

ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಪ್ರಾಸಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು

15/11/03

4499 Encl
4500 dt 1-6-05

17-97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. [redacted]

The accompanying Petition No. 3986

dated 27th April 05 received at the ~~Dewan's~~ Office from Seetharamiah

son of Subba Bhatta, Mysore

praying that he may be

appointed as the Head

of Kinana Padakshane

in the Sri Chamundeswari

temple at the place,

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

~~enclosures,~~ to the officer in charge

of the Palace Estt, Mysore,

~~for disposal~~ in

continuation of his

office Memo No 1313

dated 21st November 03

with a request that

the request may be called

for & may be sent

without further delay

By Order,

Draft

BANGALORE,

1st 29/5/05

Muzrai Secretary

1st to the petr

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 2727 Cir C-one.

The accompanying Petition No. 2443

dated 29th nove. 05. received at this Office from Seetharamiah of mysore praying that he may be appointed to the post of Viviana Peadakshare in the Sri chamundeswari temple at the place.

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the officer in charge of The Palace Estts. mysore, in Contn. of the marginally noted× Communications from this office, for very early Subn. of the Report already called for on the Subject.

× No. 1313 of 21st Nove. '03.
+ no. 4499 of 1st June '05.

By Order,

17/12/05

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

Dft)
camp Kulasa
~~BANGALORE,~~
17-12-1905.

C.No. 2738
Sent to the Hebr